

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_	10/814,157	04/01/2004	Ryuji Kawaguchi	0879-0438PUS1	7366
	2292 7590 06/23/2006		EXAMINER		
		WART KOLASCH &	TSO, EDWARD H		
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		<b>,</b>		2838	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\cap \Lambda$
Vič
Y\

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/814,157	KAWAGUCHI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Edward H. Tso	2838					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
· _ ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
	r	•					
·_ · _ ·	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
		(4) (5)					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/04;11/05;3/06.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The IDSes filed 4/1/04, 11/29/05 and 3/9/06 have all been considered and placed of record. The initialed copies are attached herewith.

## Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The disclosure should be carefully reviewed to ensure that any and all grammatical, idiomatic, and spelling or other minor errors are corrected.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frannhagen (US 5,990,659). The reference discloses, *inter alia*, a portable device 12 capable of using the battery pack 10. The device has a processor 18 for reading and processing the intrinsic battery info from the "wireless tag" imbedded within the battery pack 10. The tag is interpreted to include everything, i.e. 30, 34, 54 etc., within the pack

except the battery cells. The tag has all the battery info including the battery chemistry, voltage, current, state of charge etc. (col 3. lines 45-55; col 4, lines 50-60). The processor 18 can request the info on the remaining charge of the battery and the capacity indicator 54 within the tag translates the info to the sequence generator 34. The sequence generator 34 converts the info and sends it through the wires 14, 16 to the processor 18 to alert the user as to the state of the battery (col 4, line 60 to col 5, line 5). See also figure 1.

Claims 1-5 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rohde (US 6,005,367). The reference discloses a smart battery 10 functions very similarly to the Frannhagen's battery and further includes a power control algorithm to limit the consumption of power based on the "used" data of the battery (col 4, lines 40-50).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over one of Frannhagen (US 5,990,659) and Rohde (US 6,005,367). The reference fails to disclose the specific location of the tag within the battery pack. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have placed the tag within the center portion of the pack, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 **USPQ 70.** 

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,157

Art Unit: 2838

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom, can be reached at (571) 272-1989 on Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist at (571) 272-2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

By:

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner (571) 272-2087 Page 5